

Does identity affect aspirations in rural areas? An examination from the lens of caste and gender

ANNUAL SCIENTIFIC GENDER CONFERENCE

SEPTEMBER 25, 2018



Introduction

Aspirations as a development indicator

Effect of identity on economic outcomes- external

- Discrimination

Relationship between identity and preferences- internal

- But identity effects not random- endogeneity
- Solution- randomly increase identity salience using priming

Causal impact of gender and caste salience on aspirations for self and children

- Gender priming increased women's aspirations for girl child
- Caste priming increased only low caste women's aspirations for daughters
- No effect of priming on aspired years of education for children

Priming in Social Sciences

What is priming?

- Increase identity salience using situational cues that activate mental concepts associated with notion being primed

Priming popular in social psychology

Recently being used by economists

- Benjamin et al. (2010)- Asian American and Black identity and risk preferences
- Afridi et al. (2015)- Hukou identity and performance of students
- Mukherjee (2017)- Caste priming and test performance
- Hoff and Pandey (2006)- Caste announcement and performance
- Callen et al. (2014)- Priming violence exposure and risk preference

Aspirations

What determines the formation of an individual's hopes and beliefs about her future

Aspirations failure and investment in building social and human capital

- Genicot & Ray (2012)

Identity and aspirations

- Beaman et al (2012)- local female leader and aspirations
- Bernard et. al. (2014)- documentaries featuring same community
- Janzen et al. (2017) – upward looking aspirations

Study Design

Study conducted in Odisha- Feb-April 2018

- Kalahandi and Kandhamal districts
- 84 villages, 980 households- one male and female each HH
- Low female literacy, predominantly tribal population
- Poor & drought prone districts

Random assignment of caste priming, gender priming and general questions- approximately 1/3rd each

Five priming (or general) questions followed by questions on aspirations.

Region deeply stratified along ethnic lines

- Priming exploits environmental cues on attire, appearance, physical and ritual segregation, marriage practices, decision making.

Measuring Aspirations

Aspirations for self

- Aspiration index- Bernard and Taffesse (2014)
- Current and aspirational status on four dimensions- income, education, assets and social status
- Self reported weights

$$\textit{Aspiration Index}_i = \sum_{k=1}^4 \left(\frac{a_i^k - \mu^k}{\sigma^k} \right) \cdot \omega_i^k$$

- We use standardized aspiration z-score

Aspiration and belief for child's profession

Aspirations for children's education in years

Descriptive Statistics

1959 respondents-

- 647 gender primed
- 635 caste primed
- 677 control

Average age 41 years

70% literacy

58% tribal, Schedule caste 20%, Others 22%

Low dependency ratio, Average HH size 5.34.

Average plot ownership is 2.5 acres.

Gender Priming

Females have lower aspiration z-score

Pooled sample-Priming has no impact on aspirations for self and children

Female only sample- priming leads to higher aspirations for daughters

No effect on beliefs and aspired years of education

Gender Priming

Pooled Sample	<i>Aspiration Index Z-Score</i>	<i>Daughter- Aspiration</i>	<i>Daughter- Belief</i>
Female	-0.202* (0.1140)	3.680 (3.4949)	0.612 (0.3578)
Gender Prime	0.054 (0.0638)	1.142 (0.3229)	1.018 (0.2248)
Female x Gender Prime	-0.036 (0.0912)	1.563 (0.5483)	1.009 (0.3232)
Adjusted/Pseudo R^2	0.266	0.187	0.171
Observations	1301	841	841

Gender Priming

Female Sample	<i>Aspiration Index Z-Score</i>	<i>Daughter- Aspiration</i>	<i>Daughter- Belief</i>
Gender Prime	0.006 (0.0660)	1.770** (0.4480)	1.038 (0.2458)
Adjusted/Pseudo R^2	0.302	0.224	0.192
Observations	658	419	429

Male Sample	<i>Aspiration Index Z-Score</i>	<i>Daughter- Aspiration</i>	<i>Daughter- Belief</i>
Gender Prime	0.061 (0.0639)	1.137 (0.3131)	1.063 (0.2585)
Adjusted/Pseudo R^2	0.233	0.175	0.199
Observations	643	409	409

Caste Priming

Definition of low caste- tribal (ST) defined as low caste

- Results similar if schedule caste and tribal jointly defined as low caste
- Established hierarchy between ST (lower) and SC (higher)

Tribal respondents have lower aspiration

- But no effect of caste priming on aspirations for self or children

No effect when looking at sub-sample of low and high-caste separately

Why?

- Is caste priming more salient for women? Low caste women experience more caste-based inequality- double discrimination.
- Is caste priming not salient or strong in homogenous communities?

Caste Priming

Pooled Sample	<i>Aspiration Index Z-Score</i>	<i>Daughter-Aspiration</i>	<i>Daughter-Belief</i>
ST	-0.203*** (0.0623)	0.756 (0.1974)	1.195 (0.2953)
Caste Prime	0.111 (0.0890)	0.782 (0.1995)	1.177 (0.3202)
ST x Caste Prime	-0.090 (0.1105)	1.651 (0.6172)	0.990 (0.3092)
Adjusted/Pseudo R^2	0.301	0.157	0.171
Observations	1286	841	841

Caste Priming

ST Sample	<i>Aspiration Index Z-Score</i>	<i>Daughter-Aspiration</i>	<i>Daughter-Belief</i>
Caste Prime	0.023 (0.0578)	1.331 (0.3354)	1.183 (0.2103)
Adjusted/Pseudo R^2	0.305	0.172	0.154
Observations	749	493	493

Non-ST Sample	<i>Aspiration Index Z-Score</i>	<i>Daughter-Aspiration</i>	<i>Daughter-Belief</i>
Caste Prime	0.129 (0.0890)	0.747 (0.2052)	1.209 (0.3734)
Adjusted/Pseudo R^2	0.267	0.168	0.218
Observations	537	348	348

Caste Priming

Definition of low caste- tribal (ST) defined as low caste

- Results similar if schedule caste and tribal jointly defined as low caste
- Established hierarchy between ST (lower) and SC (higher)

Tribal respondents have lower aspiration

- But no effect of caste priming on aspirations for self or children

No effect when looking at sub-sample of low and high-caste separately

Why?

- Is caste priming more salient for women? Low caste women experience more caste-based inequality-double discrimination.
- Is caste priming not salient or strong in homogenous communities?

Heterogenous Effects- Caste Priming

Female sub-sample

- ST women have lower aspiration z-score
- No effect of priming on aspirations
- Priming ST women leads to higher aspirations for daughters
- Primed non-ST women display lower aspirations for daughters

Kalahandi sub-sample

- ST respondents have lower aspirations
- Priming leads to higher aspirations for daughters in ST-subsample
- No corresponding effect for non-ST
- Caste-priming leads to more aspired years of education for girls

Caste Prime- Female

Pooled Sample	<i>Aspiration Index Z-Score</i>	<i>Daughter-Aspiration</i>	<i>Daughter-Belief</i>
ST	-0.360*** (0.0844)	0.480** (0.1726)	0.941 (0.3261)
Caste Prime	-0.084 (0.0981)	0.506* (0.1785)	0.768 (0.2619)
ST x Caste Prime	0.116 (0.1233)	3.493*** (1.6306)	1.311 (0.6045)
Adjusted/Pseudo R ²	0.377	0.191	0.188
Observations	646	417	426
ST Female			
Caste Prime	0.033 (0.0686)	1.883* (0.6536)	1.083 (0.3053)
Adjusted/Pseudo R ²	0.374	0.139	0.185
Observations	371	177	244
Non-ST Female			
Caste Prime	-0.083 (0.1006)	0.440** (0.1533)	0.741 (0.3055)
Adjusted/Pseudo R ²	0.360	0.208	0.248
Observations	275	180	182

Heterogenous Effects

Female ST sub-sample

- ST women have lower aspiration z-score
- No effect of priming on aspirations
- Priming ST women leads to higher aspirations for daughters
- Primed non-ST women display lower aspirations for daughters

Kalahandi sub-sample

- ST respondents have lower aspirations
- Priming leads to higher aspirations for daughters among ST
- No corresponding effect for non-ST
- Caste-priming leads to more aspired years of education for girls

Caste Prime- Kalahandi

Pooled- Kalahandi			
	<i>Aspiration Index Z-Score</i>	<i>Daughter- Aspiration</i>	<i>Daughter- Belief</i>
ST	-0.173** (0.0785)	0.658 (0.1911)	1.052 (0.3304)
Caste Prime	0.071 (0.1149)	0.687 (0.2044)	1.167 (0.3594)
ST x Caste Prime	-0.092 (0.1363)	2.317** (0.9913)	0.966 (0.3374)
Adjusted/Pseudo R ²	0.331	0.111	0.153
Observations	797	507	502
ST Sample			
Caste Prime	-0.011 (0.0720)	1.665* (0.4852)	1.165 (0.2450)
Adjusted/Pseudo R ²	0.357	0.103	0.142
Observations	428	266	266
Non-ST Sample			
Caste Prime	0.080 (0.1103)	0.671 (0.2095)	1.192 (0.3981)
Adjusted/Pseudo R ²	0.296	0.131	0.191
Observations	369	238	236

Discussion and future work

Priming leads to behaviour away from the group norm- why?

- John Henry effect- priming leads to motivation?
- Priming questions do not enforce negative group norms
- Stronger priming may have stronger effect.

No effect of priming on aspirations for boys- already very high aspirations across all groups- very little variability

More information on local ethnic composition from other data sets.

More refined measure of aspiration

Exploring aspirations gap rather than aspiration level- Pasquier-Domer & Brandon (2015)
Indigenous children exhibit higher aspirations